/contract-change-review compare two versions of a contract I'm about to sign and show me what changed.

I'll look through your contracts folder, figure out which files are the two versions, and confirm them with you before comparing anything.

Used 3 tools, loaded tools ›

Your folder holds three versions of **SSA-L** (the Norwegian government standard contract for ongoing cloud/internet service purchases), each with a main text and an appendix file (*bilag*):

File	Contract part	Version (guess)	Evidence	Include by default?
ssa-l_generell_avtaletekst_2026-bok.docx	Main text	**2026** (bokmål)	Cover "SSA-L-2026"; created Jun 2026	✅ new
ssa-l_bilag_2026-bok.docx.docx	Appendices 1-x	**2026** (bokmål)	Cover "versjon 2026"; modified Mar 2026	✅ new
ssa-l_generell_avtaletekst.docx	Main text	**2018**	No year in name, but cover says "SSA-L-2018"; created May 2019	✅ old
ssa-l_bilag_2018.docx.docx	Appendices	**2018**	Cover "versjon 2018"	✅ old
ssa-l_generell_avtaletekst-2017.docx	Main text	2017	Cover/name; created Feb 2017	❌ older version
ssa-l_bilag_2017.docx	Appendices	2017	Cover "versjon 2017"	❌ older version
.DS_Store	—	—	macOS system file	❌ noise

None of the files contain tracked changes, and they're all blank templates (no filled-in party details). My proposal: compare **2026 (new) against 2018 (old)**, main text + appendices. I'll work only on copies in a new `workspace` subfolder; your originals won't be touched.

Updated tasks, used a tool

Copies are in place. Here's the rename I'd propose for the copies only:
Proposed names for the **copies** in `workspace/00_source/` (originals keep their names):

Old name	New name
ssa-l_generell_avtaletekst.docx	2018_SSA-L_hoveddokument_old.docx
ssa-l_bilag_2018.docx.docx	2018_SSA-L_bilag_old.docx
ssa-l_generell_avtaletekst_2026-bok.docx	2026_SSA-L_hoveddokument_new.docx
ssa-l_bilag_2026-bok.docx.docx	2026_SSA-L_bilag_new.docx

(This also fixes the double `.docx.docx` extensions.)

Used 19 tools, updated tasks, searched the web

Comparison done — the 2026 update is narrow. In the main text **only clause 7.2 (rights to data) changed**; every other clause is word-for-word identical (liability cap, exit clause 5.3, SLA, prices, termination…). The appendices add one new Bilag 1 slot for clause 7.2 and a few editorial fixes.

Legal sources I'm using to weigh the changes (supplier side):

- **GDPR art. 28 and art. 4** via personopplysningsloven: processor deletion duty and its statutory-retention exception; when "anonymised" data is really anonymous
- **Åndsverkloven § 24** (database right): loose fit, low weight
- **Bokføringsloven § 13** (retention): scope check only. It covers the supplier's own accounting records, not the customer's data
- **Anskaffelsesforskriften § 24-8**: tenders can be rejected for reservations/deviations
- **Dataforvaltningsloven 2026**: passed but **not in force**, so background only
- **EU Data Act art. 25**: **not Norwegian law** (still under EEA review), so background only
- **DFØ's own guidance on data rights in the SSAs** (read; not binding)
- **General contract law** where there is no statute

Tell me if there's a source you'd like added. Otherwise I'm going ahead with the impact assessment and the Word files.

Ran 9 commands, used 2 tools, loaded a skill

Now the redline for the main text.

Ran 11 commands, read 2 files, created a file

Now an independent challenge pass on the draft while I check the rendering.

Read 3 files, used 2 tools, ran an agent

The four source files still match their recorded hashes; the only mismatches are the two 2017 files, which I hashed by mistake and which aren't in the manifest. An independent reviewer is now re-reading both contract versions to challenge the draft's ratings. I'll fold in whatever holds up before delivering.

57 minutes ago

The reviewer's main points hold up against the contract text: clause 6.2 lifts the liability cap for GDPR art. 82 claims, clause 5.4 allows extension after opphør, and clause 1.3 lets Bilag 1 override the main text where 7.2 itself refers to it. I'm correcting M1, M2, M4, M5, M6 and L2 and rebuilding the files.

Ran 5 commands, updated tasks, used 2 tools

The only real change from SSA-L 2018 to 2026 is in clause 7.2 (rights to data). From the supplier's side I rated it as **0 high, 6 medium and 5 low impact** items. Everything else in the main text is word-for-word the same, including the liability cap, the exit clause 5.3, service levels, prices and termination. The appendices add one new Bilag 1 field for clause 7.2, plus some editorial fixes.

None of the changes rated high. Most of the medium ones could become high depending on things that don't show in a blank template:

- **Deleting your copies at the end (M4):** this is the most sensitive one. You must delete all your own copies of the customer's data when the contract ends. The text has no exception for data the law requires you to keep and doesn't mention backups. It also doesn't fit with the help after opphør in 5.3 or the up-to-6-month extension in 5.4. Clause 6.2 already takes GDPR art. 82 claims outside the liability cap, so a failure to delete personal data has no ceiling on liability.
- **Customer requirements in Bilag 1 (M6):** clause 7.2 now points to Bilag 1 in three places. Under the precedence clause 1.3, a customer requirement there that clearly names the point it changes can override 7.2 itself. So the customer's filled-in Bilag 1 will largely decide what you actually owe.
- **Wider data rights (M1):** the customer now gets the rights to data that is collected, generated or arises in the service, such as logs and telemetry. Your own use of that data is limited to what you need to perform the contract, plus the new exceptions.
- **Access at all times and open export format (M2, M3):** the customer must have access to its data at all times, and at the end you must hand data over in an open, machine-readable format. Clause 5.3 already covers paying you for the handover at your hourly rates in Bilag 6; the format requirement is what's new.
- **New right to use anonymised usage data (M5):** this favours you, but the customer can opt out in Bilag 1, and the data has to be genuinely anonymous under GDPR.

An independent second review re-read both versions and found several points I had misdescribed, mainly how clause 1.3 works for Bilag 1, the uncapped art. 82 liability and clause 5.4. I corrected all of them, and it confirmed no change was missed. In both redlines, accepting all changes gives the 2026 text and rejecting all gives the 2018 text. The exceptions are the version labels on the cover pages and one stray "12" in the appendix table of contents, which aren't tracked and are listed in the summary instead. Your original files are unchanged, which I checked against their SHA-256 hashes.

The review is based on blank templates. If you send the customer's filled-in Bilag 1 and the data processing agreement, I can check M4 and M6 against them. This is information from Lovdata, DFØ's guidance and the contract text, not legal advice; for the signing decision, a lawyer should look at it. You can find one through Advokatenhjelperdeg.

The three Word files (summary and two redlines) are in your folder under `orginal contracts/workspace/01_output/` ; the working notes are in `workspace/_work/` .

Sources:

- DFØ: Veileder om rettigheter til data i SSA-ene
- DFØ: Hva er nytt rundt SSA
- Personvernforordningen art. 28
- Personvernforordningen art. 4
- Personopplysningsloven § 1
- Åndsverkloven § 24
- Bokføringsloven § 13
- Anskaffelsesforskriften § 24-8
- Dataforvaltningsloven (passed, not in force)
- Dataforvaltningsloven § 9
- Forretningshemmelighetsloven
- Data Act (EU) 2023/2854 and its EEA-Lex status (not Norwegian law)

Ask Claude your own question