list precedents that support that a landlord can evict a tenant if the tenant brings pets without permission. In Norway. List in bullet points, max 20 words per case.

I'll research Norwegian case law on tenant pets and termination/eviction using the Lovkart staging sources.

Used 13 tools, loaded tools, read 2 pages

I couldn't find any precedent I can verify that supports eviction for keeping a pet without permission, so I can't give you the list you asked for.

What I searched

- Supreme Court decisions 2000–2026 citing the Tenancy Act (husleieloven): 27 cases, none about pets.
- Searches on pets combined with termination, dogs and leases, and cats and breach also returned nothing relevant.
- Lower courts (tingrett, lagmannsrett) and the Rent Disputes Tribunal (Husleietvistutvalget) aren't in the database I used, so I'm not listing cases from memory or secondary websites.

The rules that apply

- § 5-2: A tenant may keep a pet despite a no-pets rule if there are good reasons and it causes no inconvenience to others.
- § 9-5 (c): For an open-ended residential lease, the landlord can give notice to end it if the tenant breaches the lease.
- § 9-9 (b): The landlord can cancel immediately if, despite a written warning, the tenant keeps causing serious harm or nuisance.
- § 9-9 (e): The landlord can also cancel for other breaches that make ending the lease necessary.

So an unauthorised pet alone is unlikely to justify eviction if § 5-2 protects it. The landlord's case is stronger when the pet causes real nuisance and the tenant has ignored a written warning.

Where to find actual cases

- Husleietvistutvalget decisions on Lovdata: a selection of anonymised tribunal rulings. You'll need to open the site yourself.
- Court of appeal decisions: search Lovdata Pro (paid) for "husleieloven § 5-2" together with "heving" or "oppsigelse".

Sources:

- Husleieloven (LOV-1999-03-26-17)
- Husleietvistutvalget – Lovdata register

13 minutes ago

Ask Claude your own question